

LABORATORY ANIMAL PROJECT REVIEW

Please note:

1. All information in this LAPR is considered privileged and confidential by the IACUC and regulatory authorities.
2. Approved LAPRs are subject to release to the public under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Do not include proprietary or classified information in the LAPR.
3. An approved LAPR is valid for three years.

LAPR Information

LAPR Title: Developmental toxicity assessments of drinking water contaminants in rats

LAPR Number: 20-09-002

Principal Investigator: Exemption 6

Author of this Document: Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US

Date Originated: 09/13/2017

LAPR Expiration Date: 09/30/2020

Agenda Date: 09/20/2017

Date Approved: 10/02/2017

Date Closed:

APPROVALS

APPROVER	NAME	APPROVAL DATE	COMMENTS	
	Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US	10/02/2017	DMR	
	by Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US			
	Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US	10/02/2017	DMR	
	Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US			
	by Exemption 6 /RTP/USEPA/US			

Administrative Information

1. Project Title (no abbreviations, include species):

Developmental toxicity assessments of drinking water contaminants in rats

Is this a continuing study with a previously approved LAPR?

Yes

Please provide the previous LAPR# 17-10-001

2. Programatic Information

a. What Program does this LAPR support? Please provide the Research Program, Project, Task Number and Title.

Safe and Sustainable Water Resources (SSWR) Task 2.2.D: Integrated Assessment and Reduction of Contaminant Risks

b. What is the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) covering this project?

IRP: NHEERL-RTP/TAD/ETB/2014-001-r0

3. EPA Principal Investigator/Responsible Employee:

Principal Investigator Exemption 6	Phone Number Exemption 6	Division TAD	Mail Drop MD
	Lotus Notes Address Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 RTP/USEPA/US	Branch ETB	

4. Alternate Contact:

Alternate Contact Exemption 6	Phone Number Exemption 6	Division TAD	Mail Drop MD
	Lotus Notes Address Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 RTP/USEPA/US	Branch ETB	

SECTION A - Description of Project

1. Explain the study objective(s) in non-technical language such that it is understandable by non-scientific persons. Explain how the benefits from the knowledge gained from this research outweigh the costs to the animals used in this research. If this is a continuing study from a previous LAPR, briefly justify the continuation. Please spell out all acronyms and abbreviations with their initial use.

Disinfection of public water supplies has been a major success in decreasing disease; however, the disinfectant reacts with materials in the source water to produce hundreds of disinfection by-products (DBPs), some of which have been associated with adverse health effects in epidemiological studies and animal toxicity studies. Although chlorination is the disinfection method used by most US water utilities, chloramination (i.e., chlorine plus ammonia) is an alternative method that is becoming increasingly common because it forms smaller amounts of the EPA-regulated DBPs. However, chloramination produces other DBPs, many of which with little, if any, toxicological data available. A related concern is that the choice of disinfection method, along with the levels of bromine and iodine in source waters, influences the levels of brominated and iodinated DBPs in tap water. In general, iodinated and brominated DBPs are more toxic than chlorinated DBPs, but specific data on many brominated, and especially, iodinated DBPs are lacking.

Here, in order to improve the Office of Water's risk assessment of DBPs from both chlorination and chloramination, we propose to fill data gaps and examine structure-activity relationships regarding the developmental toxicity of selected chemical classes of DBPs (trihalomethanes, haloacetic acids, halonitromethanes). We plan to conduct developmental toxicity assays using F344 rats, a strain we have shown to be particularly sensitive to toxicant-induced pregnancy loss and fetal eye malformations. Briefly, this developmental toxicity screen will involve exposure of timed-pregnant F344 rats during critical periods of prenatal development, allowing the dams to deliver, and examination of litters for growth and physical abnormalities. Critical periods during pregnancy that are of particular interest include gestation days (GD) 6-10, which encompasses the period for eye development and the period of gestation that is endocrinologically dependent on luteinizing hormone, and GD 6-15, which encompasses the period of organogenesis, i.e., the formation of all the major organ systems in the embryo.

In our previous LAPR, we have completed a variety of studies with several DBPs (chloroform, iodoform, dibromonitromethane, diiodoacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid). Work with chloroform and iodoform show that both of these trihalomethanes caused pregnancy loss and were unexpectedly similarly potent. We are planning future work with bromoform to further this evaluation of structure-activity relationship among the trihalomethanes. We have completed dose-range-finding studies for diiodoacetic acid (DIA) and dibromonitromethane (DBNM), allowing us to conduct more definitive studies on these chemicals. We are also planning to conduct range-finding and definitive studies to fill data gaps on other haloacetic acids, halonitromethanes, and haloacetonitriles. In addition, our work with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) has shown pregnancy loss in F344 (but not Long Evans) dams, and at lower doses, F344 offspring had eye malformations and tail defects.

2. Scientific rationale for proposed animal use.

a. Why is the use of animals necessary?

In vivo testing is an essential part of assessing reproductive and developmental toxicity hazard in that the intact live animal is the only test system available that incorporates the complex maternal-embryonic interactions of development.

b. Justify the species requested:

Rats have been used extensively in this field because of their size, ease of care, fecundity, and large historical database. Our work will gain insights from, and add to, the large historical database of reproductive and developmental toxicity research in this species.

3. How was it determined that this study is not unnecessary duplication?

A separate PubMed search was conducted on each chemical name (listed in Section D) with the terms "developmental toxicity" and "rats." Although some of these chemicals have been tested for developmental toxicity in other strains or as part of DBP mixtures, with one exception (bromoform), none of them have been individually tested in F344 rats. (Bromoform was tested in our laboratory, in a different facility and under different conditions, over 20 years ago; we will need to re-test this chemical to provide better comparison with the current assays.) Thus, the proposed work is not unnecessary duplication.

SECTION B - In Vivo Procedures

1. Briefly describe the experimental design. Include descriptions of the age, weight and sex of the animals. Supplementary information may be attached at the end of the LAPR, but please include critical information within the body of the LAPR.

For each assay, timed-pregnant rats will be bred in-house and dosed by gavage on gestation days (GD) 6-10 or 6-15. Dams will be allowed to deliver. Dams will be monitored at term to determine gestation length (and monitored for difficulties with parturition). Litters will be examined on postnatal days (PND) 1 and 6; each pup will be sexed, weighed, and examined for abnormalities. After the day-6 examinations, dams and pups will be euthanized. At necropsy, maternal uteri will be examined to count implantation sites. Uteri of females that did not bear litters will be stained to detect cases of full-litter resorption (pregnancy loss).

Except for chemicals for which we have previous dose-setting data, we will conduct a dose-range-finding assay to determine appropriate dose levels for the full developmental toxicity assay.

Dose-range-finding studies

We request 30 animals (6 dams per dose level) to evaluate controls plus four dose levels per chemical. Dose levels: 0, 25, 50, 75, 100% of high dose (see Sections B5 and D1 for maximum doses).

Definitive studies

We request 96 animals; 24 dams per dose level.

Dose levels (percent high-dose): 0, ~25, ~50, 100% of high dose (based on results of dose-range-finding study)

2. Justify the number of animals. Include explanation (e.g., biological, statistical, regulatory rationale) for the number of animals needed for each treatment group, and the overall number requested for the duration of the LAPR.

For each assay, timed-pregnant rats will be bred in-house and dosed by gavage on gestation days (GD) 6-10 or 6-15. Current plans are to use only one dosing regimen (usually GD 6-10) per chemical; however, study results may warrant further testing with both regimens. If additional animals are needed to carry out testing under both regimens, an amendment will be submitted.

Based on our data and experience running developmental toxicity assays, we expect that six dams per dose group, and four dose levels (plus control) will be adequate to evaluate a dose-response and identify an appropriate high dose level for subsequent studies.

6 dams/group x 5 groups x 8 chemicals = 240 dams

[This excludes three chemicals for which we already have dose-setting data: bromoform, DIA, DBNM.]

For the definitive studies, regulatory guidelines for developmental toxicity studies recommend approximately 20 dams (i.e., with confirmed pregnancy) per treatment group. Based on historical pregnancy rates, 24 timed-pregnant females per group should give us the recommended number of confirmed pregnancies. Note: We will conduct the studies in two blocks; if results from the first block are conclusive, we will not conduct the second block.

24 dams/group x 4 groups x 11 chemicals = 1056 dams

Overall: 240 + 1056 = 1296 dams

Offspring:

F344 rats average ~10 pups per litter. Assuming all dams bear a litter...

1296 litters x 10 pups/litter = 12,960 pups

Timed-pregnant (i.e., mated) females will be obtained by in-house breeding.

In the interest of efficient animal use, we plan to use breeder males in LAPR 20-07-003 for breeding females for studies in this LAPR. (I.e., breeder males belonging to LAPR 20-07-003 will be shared among the two LAPRs.)

Based on previous experience using reliable male breeders, we expect about 75% of the females to successfully mate in 1 week of breeding. (Note that because of variations in estrous cyclicity, even with reliable male breeders, some females are not receptive during the breeding period and will not mate.) Thus, we request the purchase of 1728 females to obtain the target of 1296 mated (timed-pregnant) females.

3. State how many animals over the study period are expected to be used under the following three categories of pain/distress (USDA nomenclature as defined in the instructions): Please enter numbers only.

Categories	Adults	Offspring
C) Minimal, transient, or no pain/distress:	1728	12960
D) Potential pain/distress relieved by appropriate measures:		
E) Unrelieved pain/distress:		

4. Does this LAPR include any of the following:

- ☐ Restraint (>15 Minutes) ☐ Survival surgery
☐ Food and/or water restriction (>6 Hours) ☐ Non-survival surgery

5. Category C procedures. Describe each procedure separately, include details on the following:

a. Treatments (e.g., dosages, duration of exposure, route, volume, frequency):

Dams will be dosed once daily by gavage on gestation days 6-10 or 6-15 with curved 3", 18- or 20-gauge, stainless steel ball-bearing-tipped gavage needles.

Doses will be selected to avoid overt maternal toxicity. Maximum dosages are shown below. For the dose-range finding studies, dose levels: 0, 25, 50, 75, 100% of the maximum dose.

For the definitive studies, the high dosage will be selected based on the results of the dose-range finding study. Dose levels will be 0, ~25, ~50, 100% of high dose

Bromoform, max dose: 150 mg/kg
 Monochloroacetic acid (MCA), max dose: 250 mg/kg
 Dichloroacetic acid (DCA), max dose: 900 mg/kg
 Dibromoacetic acid (DBA), max dose: 200 mg/kg
 Diiodoacetic acid (DIA), max dose: 200 mg/kg
 Tribromoacetic acid (TBA), max dose: 100 mg/kg
 Chloropicrin (trichloronitromethane, TCNM) max dose: 10 mg/kg
 Bromopicrin (tribromonitromethane, TBNM), max dose: 8 mg/kg
 Iodopicrin (triiodonitromethane, TINM), max dose: 6 mg/kg
 Dibromonitromethane (DBNM), max dose: 10 mg/kg
 Iodoacetoneitrile (IAN), max dose: 50 mg/kg

Where possible, haloacetic acids (e.g., MCA, DCA, TCA) will be obtained as a sodium salt, precluding the need for pH adjustment in solution. For DBA, DIA, and TBA, dosing solutions will be prepared in deionized water and neutralized to pH 6-7 with sodium hydroxide. Dosing volume = 10 ml/kg.

For the remaining chemicals, dosing solutions will be prepared in corn oil. Generally, dosing volume = 1 ml/kg. However, for chemicals with poor solubility, the dosing volume may be increased, not to exceed 5 ml/kg.

b. Survival Blood Collections (method, volume, frequency):

Blood will be collected (only once per animal) on GD 10 for measurement of luteinizing hormone and progesterone. Animals will be held in an acrylic restrainer for approximately 5 minutes while approximately 300 μ l blood is collected from the tail vein using a butterfly needle (19G, 21G, or 23G, as appropriate for the size of the animal).

Generally, gentle stroking of the tail is sufficient to provide adequate circulation for blood collection. However, if needed (this is rare), tails will be warmed to increase circulation by dipping in warm (not hot) tap water for up to 1 minute.

c. Testing methods (including non-stressful dietary restrictions/modifications, mild non-damaging electric shock):

Litters will be examined on PND 1 and 6. Pups will be sexed, weighed, and examined for morphological and clinical abnormalities.

At necropsy of dams, uterine implantation sites will be counted.

d. Animal restraint and confinement beyond routine housing and handling. Include a description of the type of restraint device, acclimation to device, duration of restraint:

For blood collection from the tail vein, animals will be held in an acrylic restrainer for approximately 5 minutes.

e. Breeding for experimental purposes (e.g. length of pairing, number of generations):

General approach:

Up to two females will be placed in each male's cage. The following morning, each of the cohabiting females will undergo vaginal lavage and the smears will be examined microscopically for vaginal sperm (i.e., evidence of mating). Sperm-positive females will be removed from the male, assigned to the study, and housed with another female. Females that did not mate will remain with the male. Near the end of the workday, additional non-pregnant females will be placed with available males and the process will repeat each day. Generally, this procedure will be conducted 4 days per week (e.g., sperm positive on Tuesday through Friday) to coordinate study events (e.g., euthanasia dates) with work schedules. At the end of the week, any non-mated females will be removed to prevent unwanted breeding over the weekend, and the process will be continued the next week as needed.

Vaginal lavage:

Vaginal lavage will be conducted each morning after cohabitation with males. Presence of a copulatory plug or sperm-positive vaginal smear will be considered evidence of mating.

If limited number of animals are available for breeding, vaginal lavage may be conducted prior to cohabitation in order to identify receptive females.

Vaginal lavage will be conducted by laboratory staff or Animal Care Staff (a Technical Service Request will be submitted). Using a glass medical dropper or disposable plastic pipette, water will be inserted into the vaginal opening, aspirated back into the dropper/pipette, and placed on a glass slide for microscopic examination for vaginal cytology and the presence of spermatozoa.

Housing:

Upon receipt, juvenile males will be housed two per cage until approximately 1 week prior to their first breeding. At that time, the males will be housed individually and remain one per cage (except when breeding) until termination. Cages will be provided with heat-treated pine shavings for bedding, and Diamond Twists, Enviro-dri (or similar) for enrichment.

Non-pregnant females will be housed two per cage. During breeding, one female will be placed in a male's cage until mated for up to five consecutive days. Females that have not mated at the end of the week's breeding will be removed from the males' cages and re-housed two per cage with other non-pregnant females. Sperm-positive (pregnant) females will be pair housed.

Animal ages:

Males and non-pregnant females may be purchased as young as 3 weeks of age. Males will be used for breeding between 2 and approximately 20 months of age. Females will be used for breeding usually at 9-15 weeks of age (approximately 130-200g).

Females that have been cohabited with males but did not show evidence of mating will be observed for indications of pregnancy. Females observed to be pregnant (i.e., an untimed-pregnancy, and therefore cannot be assigned to the study) will be transferred to an appropriate LAPR or euthanized.

f. Describe how animals will be identified and monitored. Include description of identification procedures. (For example, if transponders are used, how are the animals prepared?) Include frequency of observations and by whom:

Animals will be ear-tagged for identification. Animals will be monitored by laboratory staff (listed in Section E).

Breeder males and unmated females will be weighed and examined weekly.

Pregnant females will be weighed on GD 0, daily during the dosing period, and every 3-4 days post-dosing.

During dosing periods, animals will be examined after each individual animal is dosed, after all animals have been dosed, 1-4 hours post-dosing, near the end of the work day, and the next morning.

Dams will be monitored several times per day for signs of parturition starting on GD 20.

In addition to thorough litter examinations on PND 1 and 6 (see section B5c), cageside examinations will be done daily on weekdays to check the general condition of the litter and cases of poor maternal care.

6. Non-surgical Category D or E procedures. Describe each procedure separately, include details on the following (Also fill in Section B.9).

a. Treatments (e.g. dosages, duration of exposure, route, volume, frequency):

b. Blood Collection (Provide a description of the procedure including method, volume, and frequency if appropriate. Indicate if the procedure is survival or terminal. Include preparatory methods, descriptions of incisions, etc.):

c. Testing methods:

d. Restrictions placed on the animals' basic needs (e.g., food and/or water restriction, light cycles, temperature). Provide details regarding the length of restriction. Describe the method(s) for assessing the health and well-being of the animals during restriction. (Amount of food or fluid earned during testing and amount freely given must be recorded and assessed to assure proper nutrition.):

e. Describe how animals will be monitored (e.g., frequency of observations, by whom):

f. Analgesia (Category D Procedures) - list drugs, dosages, route of administration and frequency:

g. If treatment-related deaths are expected, this must be thoroughly justified. Death as an endpoint is highly discouraged:

7. Surgical Category D and E procedures. Indicate if the surgery is survival or terminal. Describe each surgical procedure separately, include details on the following (Also fill in Section B.9)

a. Complete description of surgical procedure including presurgical preparation, aseptic technique, surgical closure, etc:

b. Anesthetic regimen (Drugs, dosages, volume, route of administration and delivery schedule). The use of paralytic or neuromuscular blocking agents w/o anesthesia is prohibited:

c. Postoperative care (thermal support, special feeding, responsible personnel, removal of sutures/staples, frequency and duration of monitoring including weekend and holiday care):

d. Post operative analgesics (drugs, dosage, and volume and route of administration, frequency):

e. Will any animal be subject to more than one surgical procedure over the course of its lifetime, either here at NHEERL or elsewhere?

☐ Yes ☐ No

f. Identify any surgical procedures performed at other institutions or by vendors:

8. Humane interventions (for treatments/procedures in all categories).

a. What resultant effects, if any, do the investigators expect to see following procedures or treatment? Please include transitory as well as permanent effects. Examples might include lethargy, ataxia, salivation or tremors. Indicate the expected duration of these effects.

Changes in body condition will be monitored. If animals (dams or pups) show symptoms of physical injury, severe toxicity, marked dehydration, dystocia, or deteriorating body condition (i.e., below "well-conditioned") we will euthanize or otherwise follow AV recommendations. For the halonitromethanes, we will be especially attentive to signs of corrosivity (respiratory noise, vocalization, labored breathing, excessive salivation); if such signs are noted, we will euthanize or consult AV.

b. State the criteria for determining temporary or permanent removal of animals from the study. Describe actions to be taken in the event of deleterious effects from procedures or chemical exposures. Describe actions to be taken in the event of clinical health problems not caused by procedures or exposures.

If animals (dams or pups) show symptoms of physical injury, severe toxicity, marked dehydration, dystocia, or deteriorating body condition (i.e., below "well-conditioned") we will euthanize or otherwise follow AV recommendations. Animals with labored breathing will be removed from the study and euthanized.

9. Alternatives to pain and distress (Category D and E Procedures only). Provide narrative regarding the sources consulted to ascertain whether acceptable alternatives exist for potentially painful/distressful procedures. Include databases searched or other sources consulted, the date of the search and years covered by the search, and key words and/or search strategy used. Assistance with searches is available through the EPA Library Staff.

SECTION C - Animal requirements

Describe the following animal requirements :

1. Indicate the number of animals required over the study period for this protocol. Please enter numbers only.

a. Animals to be purchased from a Vendor for this study:

1728

b. Animals to be transferred from another LAPR:

LAPR Number that is the source of this

transfer:

c. Animals to be transferred from another source:

d. Offspring produced onsite (used for data collection and/or weaned):

12960

e. TOTAL NUMBER of animals for duration of the

14688

LAPR

2. Species (limited to one per LAPR):

Rat(s)

3. Strain:

F344 rats

Describe special requirements for animals with altered physiological responses (e.g., genetically altered, aged)

na

4. Sources of animals:

Envigo (preferred source)

5. Provide room numbers where various procedures will be performed on animals:

Exemption 6
Exemption 6
Exemption 6

6. Will any animals be housed in areas other than the animal facility longer than 12 hours? If so, state location. Such areas require prior IACUC approval as a satellite facility before LAPR can be

reviewed.

no

Room Numbers:

7. Describe any transportation and containment methods involved in moving animals between EPA buildings, or between EPA and other institutions (excluding any commercial shipments)

na

8. Describe any unusual housing or husbandry requirements, or acclimation requirements. Justify any treatment beginning less than 3 days after arrival.

none

9. Describe special assistance requested of the animal contract staff, including procedures and dosing. NOTE, this request must be submitted separately to the Animal Resources Program Office (ARPO)

ear tagging, collecting vaginal smears

10. Housing and Enrichment.

The IACUC encourages the use of environmental enrichment whenever possible (see IACUC website for details). Provide details on how the animals will be housed, including type of cage (e.g., solid bottom or wire screen), bedding material, number of animals per cage, and environmental enrichment. Note that housing rodents individually without environmental enrichment requires justification.

Rats will be housed two per cage with pine shavings as bedding. Diamond Twists, Enviro-dri (or similar) will be provided as enrichment.

To maintain individual dam-litter identity, dams will be housed one per cage beginning GD 15-18.

SECTION D - Agents Administered to Animals

1. Identify all hazardous and non-hazardous agents to be administered to living animals. For agents requiring a Health and Safety Research Protocol (HSRP), provide the title of the approved HSRP for each such agent. If no protocol is required for an agent deemed potentially hazardous (e.g. nanoparticles, recombinant DNA), describe the safety precautions to be used.

Provide maximum dosing levels and route-appropriate LD50s (where available) for each agent used for dosing.

Bromoform max dose: 150 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): 600-1147 mg/kg

Monochloroacetic acid (MCA, sodium chloroacetate), max dose: 250 mg/kg

Dichloroacetic acid (DCA, sodium dichloroacetate, pharmaceutical grade)

max dose: 900 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): 2820 mg/kg

Dibromoacetic acid (DBA) max dose: 200 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): 1737 mg/kg

Diiodoacetic acid (DIA) max dose: 200 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Tribromoacetic acid (TBA) max dose: 100 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Chloropicrin (trichloronitromethane, TCNM) max dose: 10 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): 250 mg/kg

Bromopicrin (tribromonitromethane, TBNM) max dose: 8 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Iodopicrin (triiodonitromethane, TINM) max dose: 6 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Dibromonitromethane (DBNM) max dose: 10 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Iodoacetonitrile (IAN) max dose: 50 mg/kg LD50 (rat, oral): no data

Corn oil (food grade, 100% pure, used within 1 year of opening) - vehicle for hydrophobic chemicals.

All test chemicals listed are included in HSRP #89: In vivo developmental toxicity testing.

2. Describe compounds to be administered to animals.

a. Are all substances pharmaceutical grade? If not, provide a scientific justification for the use of non pharmaceutical grade compounds.

DCA will be pharmaceutical grade.

None of the remaining chemicals are available in pharmaceutical grade.

b. Describe any plans to administer human or animal tissues, blood or body fluids to the animals in the LAPR. Provide information to assure that such material is pathogen free. Indicate what safety precautions are necessary for handling the material.

c. Provide a statement regarding any safety precautions necessary for handling any of these materials.

Dosing formulations will be prepared in a fume hood.

Lab coat, nitrile gloves, and safety glasses will be worn when handling these chemicals.

NOTE: Any unresolved health/safety questions which arise during IACUC review of this LAPR will require consultation with the Safety, Health, and Environmental Management Office.

SECTION E - Personnel Training and Experience

1. Identify all project personnel conducting animal experimentation. Specify the techniques for which they have responsibility, and their relevant training and experience. Additional personnel may be added to the table below as a group (by Division) for Category C procedures. By so doing you are giving assurance that these personnel have received all required training and are qualified to perform the Category C techniques requested.

Use this area to type in additional personnel information not available in the table drop-down lists:

Hint: The names in the first 2 lines of the table below are filled automatically from the Principal Investigator & Alternate Contact fields. A new line will be made available when a name is selected & upon leaving the name field (i.e. tabbing or clicking in another field).

NAME	ROLE	SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITY	RELEVANT TRAINING
Exemption 6	Principal Investigator	Study design; litter exams, parturition exams, body weights, gavage, clinical observations, tail bleeding, cervical dislocation, Category C procedures	~35 years experience. Proficient in cervical dislocation, including rats >200 g. Completed NHEERL-required training.
Exemption 6	Technical Staff	Litter exams, parturition exams, body weights, gavage, clinical observations, tail bleeding, Category C procedures	Completed NHEERL-required training. Mentored by Exemption 6
Exemption 6	Associate Principal Investigator	Category C procedures	>30 years experience. Completed NHEERL-required training.
Exemption 6	Technical Staff	Litter exams, body	>20 years experience. Completed

			weights, clinical observations, tail bleeding	NHEERL-required training.
Exemption 6		Technical Staff	Litter exams, body weights, clinical observations, tail bleeding	>20 years experience. Completed NHEERL-required training.
Exemption 6 Exemption 6		Technical Staff	Body weights, litter exams, parturition exams, clinical observations.	Completed NHEERL-required training. Mentored by Exemption 6
RTP-NHEERL		Tech Support	Category C Procedures	All NHEERL required training is complete.

SECTION F - Animal Breeding Colonies

This section pertains to the breeding of animals for maintenance of ongoing animal colonies. Do not include breeding that is part of experimentation and accountable under Section C.

Describe:

- 1. Estimated number of breeding pairs and liveborn per year**
- 2. Breeding protocols and recordkeeping**
- 3. Methods for monitoring genetic stability**
- 4. Disposition of all offspring and retired breeders that are not used in accordance with the procedures described in this LAPR**

SECTION G - Euthanasia

1. When will the animals be euthanized relative to experimental procedures?

Dams and litters will be euthanized generally within 2 days of the PND-6 litter examinations, and no later than PND 11.

Unmated females will be euthanized (or transferred to another LAPR) by 18 weeks of age.

2. Describe the euthanasia techniques:

Method(s): Cervical dislocation or CO2 asphyxiation (dams), Decapitation (pups)

Agent(s): CO2

Dose (mg/kg): to effect

Volume:

Route: inhalation

Source(s) of information used to select the above agents/methods:

- 2013 AVMA Guidelines on Euthanasia.

- Personal experience

Scissors will be used for decapitation of pups. Extra scissors will be available in case equipment gets dull or malfunctions.

3. Provide justification and references for any euthanasia agent or method that is not consistent with recommendations of the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Guidelines for Euthanasia (e.g., cervical dislocation or decapitation without anesthesia; cervical dislocation in rodents weighing more than 200 grams).

Cervical dislocation of rats >200g: The 2013 AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals recommends cervical dislocation as a method of euthanasia for rats weighing <200g when performed by individuals with a demonstrated high degree of technical proficiency. It also states that the large muscle mass in the cervical region of heavy rats makes manual cervical dislocation physically more difficult. The Guideline's 200-g weight limit is flawed for two important reasons: 1) The additional weight acquired during pregnancy or lactation has little, if any, influence on the muscle mass of the neck. (E.g., our F344 rats typically weigh 200-250 g during late pregnancy, but their nongravid weights are <180g). 2) The technique for performing cervical dislocation described by the AVMA Guidelines is appropriate for mice, but it is an inferior technique for rats. Rather than using the thumb and index finger, the preferred technique involves placing the index and middle fingers on either side of the animal's neck (from the dorsal aspect with the palm facing rostrally). Unlike the Guideline's method, this method IS appropriate for heavier animals and is NOT physically more difficult. The Principal Investigator of this project has >30 years experience performing this technique on nongravid rats weighing >350g and pregnant or lactating rats weighing >500g.

4. Describe how death is to be confirmed.

Vital organ section, Prolonged absence of breathing

SECTION H - Disposition of Used and Unused Animals

Describe the disposition of any animals remaining after project completion.

Euthanized as above. Euthanized by Animal Care Contractor. Transferred to another study

The IACUC encourages investigators to reduce the overall number of animals used at NHEERL. Would you consider transferring any unused animals from this LAPR to another approved LAPR?

☒ Yes ☐ No

SECTION I - Assurances

1. Animals will not be used in any manner beyond that described in this application without first obtaining formal approval of the IACUC.

2. All individuals involved in this project have access to this application, are aware of all EPA policies on animal care and use, and are appropriately trained and qualified to perform the techniques described.

3. Thorough consideration of the three "R"'s (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) has been given, as applicable, to a. the use of animals, and b. procedures causing pain or distress (with or without analgesia/anesthesia), including death as an endpoint. The minimum number of animals required to obtain valid experimental results will be used.

4. The Attending Veterinarian has been consulted in regard to any planned experimentation involving pain or distress to animals.

5. The IACUC and Attending Veterinarian will be promptly notified of any unexpected study results that impact the animals' well-being, including morbidity, mortality and any occurrences of clinical symptoms which may cause pain or indicate distress.

6. All procedures involving hazardous agents will be conducted in accordance with practices approved by the Safety, Health, and Environmental Management Office.

7. I certify that I am familiar with and will comply with all pertinent institutional, state and federal rules and policies.

8. The IACUC has oversight responsibilities for animal care and use, and may request consultation or feedback regarding the conduct of in vivo procedures, progress and accomplishments, and any problems encountered.

EPA Principal Investigator	Certification Signature Date
Exemption 6 Exemption 6	09/13/2017

Submitted: 09/13/2017

Certification:

Certification by EPA Supervisor (Branch Chief or Division Director) that the project described herein has been reviewed and approved on the basis of scientific merit:

Branch Chief/Division Director	Approval Date	Phone Number	Division	Mail Drop
Exemption 6	09/14/2017	Exemption 6 Lotus Notes Address	TAD Branch ETB	MD Submitted to Branch Chief for Approval 09/13/2017 05:45 PM
Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6	Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 RTP/USEPA /US	Exemption 6 Exemption 6 Exemption 6 RTP/USEPA /US		

ATTACHMENTS



20-09-002 LAPR resp1.pdf

Actions

First Update notification sent:

Second Update notification sent:

First 2nd Annual notification sent:

Second 2nd Annual notification sent:

1st Expiration notification sent:

2nd Expiration notification sent:

History Log: